

**CPSC 304 Midterm 1**  
**Oct 11, 2006**  
**Total: 20 points**

**Question 1 (7 points)** You are hired to set up a relational database for a small community hospital. The first thing you do is to go to the hospital and find out the attributes that need to be stored, and their inter-relationships. Here are your findings:

- Every room has a unique room number (integer).
- Every room has one designated usage (char [40]), but different rooms may have the same usage.
- Every patient is assigned a room, but many patients may be assigned to the same room.
- Every patient has a unique patient number (integer)
- Every patient has a name (char[40]) which is not necessarily unique.
- A patient may be treated by more than one doctor, and a doctor may attend to more than one patient.
- Every doctor has a unique doctor number (integer) and a unique phone (char[10]).

- a) (3 points) Draw an entity-relationship diagram to represent the information described above.

*(Instead of drawing the diagram, I outline below the key features to be shown in the diagram.)*

*Entity set: room with room number as the primary key and usage as the other attribute.*

*Entity set: patient with patient number as the primary key and name as the other attribute.*

*Relationship set: assignment 1-to-many from room to patients, with patient number as the primary key.*

*Entity set: doctor with doctor number or phone number as the primary key.*

*Relationship set: treatment many-to-many between patient and doctor with patient number and doctor number (or phone number) as the primary key*

*(Notice that there is not any explicit statement about participation constraints. So the grading is the same whether you apply participation constraints or not.)*

- b) (4 points) Suppose every entity set and every relationship set is to be represented by a different relation. Give the SQL data definition (i.e., create table statements) for those relations representing relationship sets.

*Create table assignment*

*(roomNumber integer, patientNumber integer,  
primary key (patientNumber),*

*foreign key patientNumber references patient(patientNumber),*

*foreign key roomNumber references room(roomNumber))*

*Create table treatment*

*(doctorNumber integer, patientNumber integer,*

*primary key (doctorNumber,patientNumber),*

*foreign key patientNumber references patient(patientNumber),  
foreign key doctorNumber references doctor(doctorNumber))*

**Question 2 (6 points)** Consider the following create table statement:

```
CREATE TABLE r1  
( a1 INTEGER, a2 INTEGER, a3 INTEGER, a4 INTEGER, a5 INTEGER,  
  PRIMARY KEY (a1, a2),  
  UNIQUE (a3,a4),  
  FOREIGN KEY (a5) REFERENCES r2(a5) )
```

- a) (2 points) List all the non-trivial functional dependencies pertaining to the attributes of r1 that can be inferred from the create table statement.  
*a1, a2 determines a3, a4, a5.  
a3, a4 determines a1, a2, a5.*
- b) (2 points) Is r1 in BCNF? Give a brief explanation.  
*Yes, it is in BCNF because both {a1, a2} and {a3, a4} are the only non-trivial functional determinants, and both of them are superkeys.*
- c) (2 points) Is r1 in 3NF? Give a brief explanation.  
*Yes, this is because if a relation is in BCNF, it is automatically in 3NF.*

**Question 3 (3 points)** Consider the following relation instance:

A	B	C
John	1	Van
John	2	Rmd
Jane	3	Rmd
Jane	3	Rmd
Jill	4	Bby
Jill	5	Cql

Observe that  $B \rightarrow A$  appears to hold with respect to the given instance. Check to see if all of the following dependencies hold with respect to the instance and explain why:

- a)  $A \rightarrow B$   
*No because, for instance, the A-value John has different B-values 1 and 2.*
- b)  $B \rightarrow C$   
*Yes. The only B-value 3 that can possibly violate the FD has the same C-value Rmd.*
- c)  $C \rightarrow A$

*No because the C-value Rmd has different A-values John and Jane.*

**Question 4 (4 points)** Use the following three axioms:

- (reflexivity) if  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- (augmentation) if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , then  $\alpha \vee \gamma \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma$
- (transitivity) if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$

to determine if the following two statements are true or false. If you think it is true, give a proof; otherwise, give a counter-example.

(a) (2 points) if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

1.  $\beta \vee \gamma \rightarrow \beta$  (reflexivity)
2.  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  (transitivity on 1 and the given  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma$ )

(b) (2 points) ) if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\beta \vee \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ , then  $\alpha \vee \gamma \rightarrow \delta$

1.  $\alpha \vee \gamma \rightarrow \beta \vee \gamma$  (augmentation on the given  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )
2.  $\alpha \vee \gamma \rightarrow \delta$  (transitivity on 1 and the given  $\beta \vee \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ )

--- The End ---