PSPACE, NPSPACE, Savitch's Theorem

 $\underbrace{\forall w \exists x \forall y \exists z (w \lor x \lor \neg y) \land (\neg w \lor \neg x) \land (x \lor y \lor \neg z) \land z}_{\neg \neg \neg \neg z) \land \neg z) \land z$

- Instance: Given a quantified Boolean formula (QBF) φ

$$\exists x, \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \exists x_n \quad \psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- Describe a simple algorithm for TQBF.
- What is its time/memory (space) complexity?

procedure TQBF (
$$Q_1 \times \dots \otimes Q_n \times \dots \oplus (X_n, \dots, X_n)$$
)
if $m = 0$ // \oplus is either T or F
if $\phi = T$ output Yes, else output No
else if $Q_1 = \overline{A}$
Tetern TQBF ($Q_2 \times \dots \otimes Q_n \times n$ $\bigoplus_{x_1 \in T} (x_2 \dots \times n)$)
 $V = 1QBF(\dots \dots \dots \oplus Q_{x_1 \in T} (x_2 \dots \times n))$
else // $Q_1 = \forall$
Tetern TQBF ($Q_2 \times \dots \otimes Q_n \times n$ $\bigoplus_{x_1 \in T} (x_2 \dots \times n)$)
 $A = 1QBF(\dots \dots \dots \oplus Q_{x_1 \in T} (x_2 \dots \times n))$

$$\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \exists x_n \forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- Describe a simple algorithm for TQBF.
- What is its time/memory (space) complexity?

Worst-case Tuntime:

$$T(n) = \int 2T(n-1) + C[\phi]_{2} n \ge 1$$

$$C_{1} n = 0$$
Exponential runtime

procedure TABF (
$$a_1 x_1 \dots a_n x_n \neq (x_n \dots x_n)$$
)
if $n = 0 \parallel \phi$ is either T or F
if $\phi = \tau$ output Yes, else output No
else if $a_1 = 3$
retern TABF ($a_2 x_2 \dots a_n x_n \phi \mid (x_2 \dots x_n)$)
 $V = 1 aBF (\dots \dots \dots n) x_1 = \tau$
 $V = 1 aBF (\dots \dots n) x_1 = \tau$
retern TABF ($a_2 x_2 \dots a_n x_n \phi \mid (x_2 \dots x_n)$)
 $A = 1 aBF (\dots \dots n) x_1 = \tau$

TQBF is in EXPTIME =
$$\bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}} DTIME(2^{n^{c}})$$

$$\exists x, \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \exists x_n \quad \psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- Describe a simple algorithm for TQBF.
- What is its time/memory (space) complexity?

procedure TQBF (
$$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \neq (x_n, \dots, x_n)$$

if $m = 0$ // ϕ is either T or F
if $\phi = \tau$ output Yes, else output No
else if $Q_1 = \overline{A}$
retern TQBF ($Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \phi | (x_2 \dots x_n)$)
 $V = 1 QBF (\dots, \dots, \dots, \phi | x_1 = \tau)$
 $P = 1 Q$

Memory: n levels of recursion, 0(10/1+n) storage per level =) polynomial space

Space Bounded Complexity Classes

• Distinguish between a *read-only input tape* and *work tapes* of a Turing Machine (TM).



Space Bounded Complexity Classes

- SPACE(s(n)) is the set of languages accepted by deterministic TMs that always halt and use O(s(n)) work tape cells on inputs of length n.
- NSPACE(s(n)): replace "deterministic" by "nondeterministic". (Regardless of nondeterministic choices made, the TM halts.)

Space Bounded Complexity Classes

- PSPACE = Uc > 0 SPACE (n^c)
- NPSPACE = $\cup c > 0$ NSPACE (n^c)
- $L = SPACE(\log n)$
- NL = NSPACE(log n)

How do these classes relate to each other, and to the time-bounded classes P, NP, EXPTIME, NEXPTIME?

What classes are contained in PSPACE?

What classes contain PSPACE?

PSPACE S EXPTIME

 If a TM uses O(n^c) space, how many different *configurations* can it be in?



=) Exponential # of configurations

$$O(2^{n^{c'}}),$$
 for some $c' > O$
A machine that always halts never enters the
same configuration more than once on a given input.
=) A pspace-bound machine runs in exponential time.

What classes contain NPSPACE?

We can represent a computation on a fixed input as
 a configuration graph (مديرد)



- Let M be a NTM using $O(n^c)$ space.
- Exp-time algorithm for L(M): On input w:
 - Write down the configuration graph of M on w; the size of the graph is $2^{o(|w|^c)}$
 - Check if the accepting configuration can be reached from the initial configuration (use depth first search or breadth first search)





- Proof idea: Let L be accepted by NTM M within c.s(n)) space and 2^{c.s(n)} time. We'll describe a deterministic algorithm that accepts L in O(s(n)²) space.
- Fix input *w* of length *n*, and let G be the configuration graph of M on *w*.

- Let Reach(x,y,i) be true if there is a path of length ≤ 2ⁱ from node x to node y in configuration graph G, and false otherwise.
- On input *w*, the DTM computes

Reach(*init,acc,c.s*(n))

and accepts if and only if the function returns true

Reach(x, y, j) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^{i}$ from x to y? if i== 0 then if (x == y) or (x, y) is edge of G Netwon Yes else return No else // i > 0 for each child z of x if Reach (z, y --- E no way to choose new i i

Reach(x, y, i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? if i==0 then if (x == y) or (x,y) is edge of G Netwon Yes else return No else // i > 0 node I for each descent 2 of 2 G · check Reach (Z, y, i-1) · ... Reach (x, Z, i-1) Technical detail: to enumerate all modes Z we'll mark off c.s(n) cells on worktape. We need to assume that s(n) is "space. constructible" from n.

Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? If i = 0 then If (x = y) or ((x,y) is an edge of G) Return True Else Return False Else For each node z of G If (Reach (x, z, i-1) and Reach(z, y, i-1)) **Return True Return False**

Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? If i = 0 then If (x = y) or ((x, y) is an edge of G) Return True Else Return False O(1) configurations stored per recursive level. Else For each node z of G If (Reach (x, z, i-1) and Reach(z, y, i-1)) **Return True Return False** Reach(*init,acc,c.s*(n)) analysis: • recussion depth: c.s(n) • Space per recursive call: O(1) • length of a configuration = O(s(n)). Total space: (recursion depth) * (space per recursion level) $= O(s(n)^2).$

Reach(*x*,*y*,*i*) analysis:

- The space per recursion level is proportional to the space, s(n) used by M on w (where |w| = n)
- The recursion depth is *i*
- So, the recursion depth is c.s(n) on call Reach(*init*, acc, c.s(n)), and the total space used is O(s(n)².





alternative

- Let L be a PSPACE language, accepted by TM M within space c.s(n) and time 2^{c.s(n)}.
- Goal: Poly-time reduction $w \rightarrow QBF(w)$ such that w is in L iff QBF(w) is true.
- Equivalently, if Reach(x,y,i) is as before, then Reach(*init*,*acc*,c.s(|w|)) iff QBF(w) is true.

Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? If i = 0 [base case omitted] Else

> For each node *z* of G If (Reach(*x, z, i*-1) and Reach(*z, y, i*-1)) Return True Return False

First try at expressing this using logic:

 \exists config z: Reach(x,z,i-1) \land Reach(z,y,i-1))

Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? If i = 0 [base case omitted] Else

> For each node *z* of G If (Reach(*x, z, i*-1) and Reach(*z, y, i*-1)) Return True Return False

First try at expressing this using logic:

∃ config *z*: Reach(*x*,*z*,*i*-1) \land Reach(*z*,*y*,*i*-1)) Problem: formula size will blow up when expanding the Reach expressions, because of doubling

Reach(x,y,i) // does G have a path of length $\leq 2^i$ from x to y? If i = 0 [base case omitted] Else

> For each node *z* of G If (Reach(*x*, *z*, *i*-1) and Reach(*z*, *y*, *i*-1)) Return True Return False

Better way of expressing this using logic:

∃ config *z* ∀ *v*∈{True, False} ∃ configs *z*',*z*''

 $(v \Rightarrow z', z''=x, z) \land (\neg v \Rightarrow z', z''=z, y) \land \operatorname{Reach}(z', z'', i-1)$

Overall QBF:

$$\exists z_{1} \forall v_{1} \exists z_{1}', z_{1}'' \exists z_{2} \forall v_{2} \dots \exists z_{m}', z_{m}''$$
$$\phi(z_{0}', z_{0}'', z_{1}, v_{1}, z_{1}', z_{1}'', \dots, z_{m}, v_{m}, z_{m}', z_{m}'')$$

where m = c.s(n) and ϕ encodes that

- z_0' and z_0'' are the initial and accepting configs of M on w
- for each *i*, if v_i = true then z'_i , z''_i = z_{i-1} , z_i
- for each *i*, if v_i = false then z'_i , $z''_i = z_i$, z_{i-1} "
- all of the z_i , z_i' and z_i'' encode valid configurations
- $z_m' = z_m''$ or (z_m', z_m'') is an edge of G (base case)

- Motivation: schedule jobs at the same time period each day; want to minimize processors
- Example:





- Succinct graph is 3-colourable, suggesting that we need 3 processors (since two jobs in overlapping time intervals cannot be scheduled on the same processor)
- But the infinite graph is actually 2-colourable, and so we can use just 2 processors!



• A *periodic graph* G is an infinite undirected graph, specified by a triple (V,E,E')

• G's nodes:

 $-U V_i$ where $V_i = \{v_i | v \text{ in } V\}$, for all i in \mathbb{Z}

- G's edges: $(U E_i) U (U E_i')$
 - where $E_i = \{\{u_i | v_i\} | \{u,v\} in E\}$
 - and $E_i' = \{ \{u_i | v_{i+1}\} | (u,v) \text{ in } E' \}$

- Instance: A periodic graph G = (V,E,E') and a positive number k
- **Problem**: Is G *k*-colourable?



 Can you suggest a nondeterministic algorithm for Periodic Graph Colouring that runs in polynomial space?

- PSPACE refines the categorization of problems within EXP: those that can be solved with only polynomial space vs those that seem to need both exponential time *and* space
- NPSPACE = PSPACE! (Savitch's Theorem)
- We can leverage Savitch's Theorem to simplify proofs that some problems are in PSPACE (e.g., Periodic Graph Colouring, which also happens to be PSPACEcomplete.

Summary

